

Partitions

Recall: Relation R on set A ($R \subseteq A \times A$) is an *equivalence relation on A* iff R is

- a. reflexive on A : $x R x$ for all $x \in A$.
- b. symmetric: If $x R y$, then $y R x$.
- c. transitive: If $x R y$, and $y R z$, then $x R z$.

Recall: If R is an equivalence relation on A , then for $x \in A$, $x/R = \{y \in A : x R y\}$ is the equivalence class of x . (Read “ $x \bmod R$.”)

Example: If $A = \{3, 4, 5\}$, then $R = \{(3, 3), (4, 4), (5, 5), (3, 5), (5, 3)\}$ is an equivalence relation

on A with equivalence classes

$$3/R = \{3, 5\}$$

$$4/R = \{4\}$$

$$5/R = \{3, 5\}.$$

Worksheet Example A

Theorem: Let R be an equivalence relation on a nonempty set A . Then

a. $x \in x/R$ for all $x \in A$.

b. $x/R \subseteq A$ for all $x \in A$.

c. $\bigcup_{x \in A} x/R = A$.

d. $x R y$ iff $x/R = y/R$.

e. $x \not R y$ iff $x/R \cap y/R = \emptyset$.

proof: Assume that R is an equivalence relation on set A .

a. Let $x \in A$. Since R is reflexive on A , then $x R x$. Therefore $x \in x/R$ by definition.

b. Let $x \in A$, and let $z \in x/R$, then $z \in \{w \in A : x R w\}$. Thus $z \in A$. Therefore $x/R \subseteq A$.

c. (\subseteq) Let $z \in \bigcup_{x \in A} x/R$, then $z \in x/R$ for some $x \in A$. Since $x/R \subseteq A$, then $z \in A$ as well. Thus $\bigcup_{x \in A} x/R \subseteq A$.

(\supseteq) Let $z \in A$, then $z \in z/R$, therefore $z \in \bigcup_{x \in A} x/R$. Therefore $A \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in A} x/R$.

d. (\Rightarrow) Assume that $x R y$, now we show that $x/R = y/R$.

(\subseteq) Let $z \in x/R$, then $x R z$. However since R is symmetric, then $z R x$. We also have that $x R y$, so by transitivity $z R y$, and using symmetry again gives us $y R z$, therefore $z \in y/R$. Thus $x/R \subseteq y/R$.

(\supseteq) Now let $z \in y/R$, then $y R z$. But since $x R y$, and R is transitive, then $x R z$ and so $z \in x/R$. Therefore $y/R \subseteq x/R$ as well.

(\Leftarrow) Now assume that $x/R = y/R$, and we will show that $x R y$.

Since $y \in y/R$, and $y/R = x/R$, then $y \in x/R$. This gives us that $x R y$ as desired.

e. (\Rightarrow) We first assume that $x \not R y$ and we show that $x/R \cap y/R = \emptyset$. We do this by

contrapositive. Suppose that $z \in x/R \cap y/R$, then $z \in x/R$, and $z \in y/R$. So $x R z$ and $y R z$. But since R is symmetric, then $z R y$ as well. However since R is transitive, then $x R y$. So we have shown the desired result.

(\Leftarrow) Now we assume that $x/R \cap y/R = \emptyset$, and show that $x \not R y$. Again we do this by contrapositive. Suppose that $x R y$, then $y \in x/R$, and we already know that $y \in y/R$, so $y \in x/R \cap y/R$. Therefore $x/R \cap y/R$ is nonempty. Thus we have proved the result.

□

Definition: Let A be a set and let \mathcal{A} be a family of subsets of A . Set \mathcal{A} is a partition of A iff

- i. If $X \in \mathcal{A}$, then $X \neq \emptyset$.

ii. If $X \in \mathcal{A}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{A}$, then $X = Y$ or $X \cap Y = \emptyset$.

iii. $\bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{A}} X = A$.

Example: Consider the equivalence relation R on the set $A = \{3, 4, 5\}$. Let \mathcal{A} be the set of distinct equivalence classes. Then

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\{3, 5\}, \{4\}\}$$

is a partition of A .

Note: The previous theorem says that every equivalence relation R on set A determines equivalence classes which partition set A .

Question: Is the converse true? Does every partition of set A determine an equivalence relation on A ?

Example: Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and let $\mathcal{A} = \{\{1, 3\}, \{2\}, \{4\}\}$ be a partition of A . Determine an equivalence relation R on A whose equivalence classes are precisely the elements of \mathcal{A} .

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned}R &= \{(1, 1), (1, 3), (3, 1), (3, 3), (2, 2), (4, 4)\} \\1/R &= \{1, 3\}, \\2/R &= \{2\}, \\3/R &= \{1, 3\}, \\4/R &= \{4\}\end{aligned}$$

Worksheet Example B

Theorem: Let \mathcal{B} be a partition of set A . For $x, y \in A$ define relation R on A by “ $x R y$ iff there exists a set $C \in \mathcal{B}$ so that $x \in C$, and $y \in C$.” Then

a. R is an equivalence relation on A .

b. $A/R = \mathcal{B}$.