

# Equivalent Forms of Induction

We now introduce the Principle of Complete Induction (PCI) along with the Well-Ordering Principle (WOP) both equivalent to the Principle of Mathematical Induction (PMI).

I. Principle of Mathematical Induction (PMI) -

To prove  $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})P(n)$ :

1. Show  $P(1)$  is true.
2. Assume that for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$   $P(k)$  is true.
3. Show that  $P(k + 1)$  is true.
4. Thus  $P(n)$  is true  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## II. Principle of Complete Induction (PCI) -

To prove  $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})P(n)$ :

1. Assume that for some fixed  $m \in \mathbb{N}$   $P(k)$  is true  $\forall k \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m - 1\}$ .
2. Show that  $P(m)$  is true.
3. Thus,  $P(n)$  is true  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## III. Well-Ordering Principle (WOP) -

If  $S \neq \emptyset$  and  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , then  $S$  has a smallest element.

It can be proven that these three principles are equivalent.

Some observations:

Consider the set  $S = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m-1\}$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then when  $m = 1$ , then  $S = \emptyset$ , when  $m = 2$ ,  $S = \{1\}$ , when  $m = 3$ ,  $S = \{1, 2\}$  and so on.

Recall: A natural number  $p > 1$  is *prime* if 1 and  $p$  are its only factors in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Otherwise,  $p$  is *composite*.

Example: Prove that every natural number  $n > 1$  has a prime factor.

proof: (Proof by PCI) Assume that there is some fixed  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $k \in \{2, 3, \dots, m-1\}$ , then  $k$  has a prime factor. Since  $m = 2$  has a prime factor, then we may assume  $m \geq 3$ . We now show that  $m$  has a prime factor. Consider the following cases:

Case 1: Assume  $m$  is prime. In this case since  $m$  is a factor of itself, then  $m$  has a prime

factor.

Case 2: Assume  $m$  is composite. Then there is some integer  $d$  with  $1 < d < m$  and  $d \mid m$ . Since  $d \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots, m - 1\}$ , then  $d$  must have a prime factor  $p$  by assumption. But since  $p \mid d$ , and  $d \mid m$ , then  $p \mid m$ . Therefore  $m$  has a prime factor as well.

Therefore by the PCI we have shown that every natural number  $n > 1$  has a prime factor.

□

Notice that the regular PMI would not have proved this this easily.

# Fibonacci Numbers

Fibonacci Numbers are those listed in the following sequence:

$$1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, \dots$$

Let  $f_n$  be the  $n$ th Fibonacci number for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Then

$$f_1 = 1$$

$$f_2 = 1$$

$$f_{n+2} = f_{n+1} + f_n$$

**Example:** Assume that  $f_n$  is a Fibonacci number  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Show that

$$f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + \dots + f_n = f_{n+2} - 1$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

proof: (Using the PCI) Assume that there is

some fixed  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m-1\}$ , then

$$f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + \cdots + f_k = f_{k+2} - 1.$$

Now we must show that the the result holds for  $m$ . Note that  $f_1 = f_3 - 1$ , so assume that  $m \geq 2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & f_1 + f_2 + \cdots + f_{m-1} + f_m \\ &= (f_1 + f_2 + \cdots + f_{m-1}) + f_m \\ &= (f_{m-1+2} - 1) + f_m \\ &= f_{m+1} + f_m - 1 \\ &= f_{m+2} - 1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + \cdots + f_n = f_{n+2} - 1$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

□

**Example:** Prove that every natural number  $n > 1$  has a prime factor.

proof: (Using the WOP) Let  $n$  be a natural number with  $n > 1$ . Consider the following two cases:

Case 1: Assume  $n$  is prime. Then  $n$  has itself as a prime factor.

Case 2: Assume that  $n$  is composite. Then there is an integer  $d$  so that  $1 < d < n$  and  $d \mid n$ . Let

$$T = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : 1 < x < n \text{ and } x \mid n\}.$$

Note that  $T \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , and since  $d \in T$ , then  $T \neq \emptyset$ . Thus, by the WOP this set  $T$  must have a smallest element  $p$ . We claim that  $p$  is a prime. Suppose that  $p$  is not a prime, then  $p$  is composite. Since  $p > 1$ , then there is an integer  $j$  such that  $1 < j < p$ , and  $j \mid p$ . However, if  $1 < j < n$ , then  $1 < j < x$ . Also, if  $j \mid p$ , then since  $p \mid n$ , then  $j \mid n$ . Therefore  $j \in T$ . However  $j < p$ , and this contradicts the fact

that  $p$  is the smallest element of  $T$ . Therefore  $p$  must not be composite, and therefore must be prime. Therefore  $n$  has a prime factor as desired.

□

### Example A on Worksheet

The following two theorems are proved using the Well-Ordering Principle.

**Theorem:** (Division Algorithm for  $\mathbb{N}$ .) Let  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $b \leq a$ . Then there exists  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  so that

$$a = qb + r,$$

where  $0 \leq r < b$ .

**Theorem:** (Greatest Common Divisor) Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $d$  be the greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ . Then there exists integer  $x$  and  $y$  so that  $ax + by = d$ .

**Definition:** If  $\text{GCD}(a, b) = 1$ , then we say that  $a$  and  $b$  are *relatively prime*.

## The Equivalence of PMI, PCI, and WOP

I. PMI: Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that

1.  $1 \in S$ . and

2.  $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})(n \in S \Rightarrow n + 1 \in S)$ .

Then  $S = \mathbb{N}$ .

II. PCI: Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that

$$(\forall m \in \mathbb{N})(\{1, 2, 3, \dots, m - 1\} \subseteq S \Rightarrow m \in S).$$

Then  $S = \mathbb{N}$ .

III. WOP: If  $T \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and  $T \neq \emptyset$ , then  $T$  has a smallest element.

Let us prove that I is equivalent to III.

PMI  $\Rightarrow$  WOP:

proof: Assume that PMI is true. Let  $T \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and assume that  $T \neq \emptyset$ . Let us show that  $T$  has a smallest element. Suppose that it does not. Let

$$S = \mathbb{N} - T = \mathbb{N} \cap \tilde{T}.$$

If  $1 \in T$ , then 1 would be the smallest element in  $T$ . Thus  $1 \in S$ . Assume that  $k \in S$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We show that  $k + 1 \in S$ . To do this consider if  $k + 1 \in T$ , then one of  $2, 3, 4, \dots, k -$

$1, k + 1$  is the least element in  $T$ . But  $T$  does not have a smallest element, so  $k + 1 \in S$ . Thus by PMI  $S = \mathbb{N}$ . But if  $S = \mathbb{N}$ , then  $T = \emptyset$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $T$  has a smallest element.

□

WOP  $\Rightarrow$  PMI:

proof: Assume WOP is true. Assume  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  with the properties

1.  $1 \in S$  and

2.  $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})(n \in S \Rightarrow n + 1 \in S)$ .

We will show that  $S = \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose not, suppose that  $S \neq \mathbb{N}$ . Let

$$T = \mathbb{N} - S = \mathbb{N} \cap \tilde{S}.$$

Then  $T \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , and since  $S \neq \mathbb{N}$ , then  $T \neq \emptyset$ . So by WOP  $T$  has a smallest element  $m \in T$ . Consider the element  $m - 1$ . Since  $m$  is the smallest element in  $T$  and  $m - 1 < m$ , then  $m - 1 \in S$ . But if  $m - 1 \in S$ , then  $m - 1 + 1 = m \in S$  as well. But  $m \in T$  and  $S \cap T = \emptyset$ . This is a contradiction. Thus,  $S = \mathbb{N}$ .

□